

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/049,838	ALBRAND ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Carlos Lopez	1731

**All Participants:**

(1) Carlos Lopez.

**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Morris Liss.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4/16/04

**Time:** 2pm

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

13

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agreed to correct the typing error in page 7, line 29 to read as "Brief Description of the Drawings". Additionally, applicant agreed to correct the grammar error of claim 13, line 5, after the term "which has," to delete "at" and insert -- as-. It was also noted that term "air recirculated" lacked antecedent basis. Applicant agreed to replace "recirculated" with -- circulated --.